Docket No.

246121US8CONT



#### TES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE IN THE UN

IN RE APPLICATION OF:

SERIAL NO:

10/751,429

GAU:

3622

FILED:

January 6, 2004

EXAMINER: CHAMPAGNE, DONALD

RCE FILED:

May 6, 2005

FOR:

METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR ADVERTISEMENT USING INTERNET BROWSER WITH BOOK-LIKE

**INTERFACE** 

#### INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 CFR 1.97

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

Applicant(s) wish to disclose the following information.

#### REFERENCES

- The applicant(s) wish to make of record the attached Chinese Office Action dated April 1, 2005. Copies of the listed references are attached, where required, as are either statements of relevancy or any readily available English translations of pertinent portions of any non-English language references.
- ☐ A check or credit card payment form is attached in the amount required under 37 CFR §1.17(p).

#### RELATED CASES

- Attached is a list of applicant's pending application(s), published application(s) or issued patent(s) which may be related to the present application. In accordance with the waiver of 37 CFR 1.98 dated September 21, 2004, copies of the cited pending applications are not provided. Cited published and/or issued patents, if any, are listed on the attached PTO form 1449.
- ☐ A check or credit card payment form is attached in the amount required under 37 CFR §1.17(p).

#### CERTIFICATION

- Each item of information contained in this information disclosure statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this statement.
- ☐ No item of information contained in this information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application or, to the knowledge of the undersigned, having made reasonable inquiry, was known to any individual designated in 37 CFR §1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this statement.

#### **DEPOSIT ACCOUNT**

Please charge any additional fees for the papers being filed herewith and for which no check or credit card payment is enclosed herewith, or credit any overpayment to deposit account number 15-0030. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Registration No. 40,073

Customer Number

Tel. (703) 413-3000 Fax. (703) 413-2220 (OSMMN 05/03) I:\ATTY\MM\24'S\246121US\246121US IDS 05-23-05.DOC

Michael E. Monaco Registration No. 52,041

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

0017034132220

## THE PATENT OFFICE OF THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Address: 6 Xi Tu Cheng Lu, Haidian, Beijing Post Code: 100088

|                         | TO DOWN I WO   |                                     |
|-------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| Applicant:              | E-BOOK SYSTEMS PTE. LTD.                                 | : [                                 |
|                         |  | Date of Notification:               |
| Attorney:               |  | 2005 4.1                            |
| Application No.:        | 01817265.2   | 2005-4-1                            |
| Title of the Invention: | METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR ADVE<br>INTERNET BROWSER WITH BOOK | RTISEMENT USING<br>K-LINE INTERFACE |

# Notification of the First Office Action (PCT Application in the National Phase)

| a<br>I | bove-ident<br>Republic of<br>The Chines<br>of the Patch | ified p<br>China<br>se Pate<br>at Law | atent appli<br>thereinafi<br>ent Office b             | amination as to s<br>cation for invent<br>er referred to as<br>nas decided to ex   | "the Pa<br>amine t              | atent Law"<br>he applica | ).<br>tion on its own      |           |                             |   |
|--------|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|---|
| 2. 🛮   | The applic  | ant cl                                | aimed prio  | rity/priorities bas  | sed on t                        | he applica               | tion(s):                   | on        |                             |   |
| f      | iled in   | US                                    | on  | 2000-10-12   | ·;                              | filed in                 |                            | on .      |                             |   |
|        |   |                                       |   |  |                                 |                          |                            |           | ******                      |   |
|        | ☐ The C   | hinese<br>hinese<br>mendn             | translation<br>translation<br>ents made               | submitted by the nof the amendment of the amendment of the amendment of the amendment of the thickness of th | ents and<br>ents ma<br>r Art. 4 | de under A<br>l of PCT.  | Art. 19 of PCT             | C.        | e Patent Lav                | v.                                      |
|        |   |                                       |   | the Chinese tra  |                                 |                          |                            |           |                             |   |
|        |   | ion wa<br>on⊠ P<br>⊟ ⊟                | s directed tages <u>1-30</u> 0<br>Pages <u>1-30</u> 0 | to the application of the Chinese transfer of the Chinese  | n docum<br>anslatio<br>translat | n of the In              | ternational Apamendments a | plication | tion as original to the IPE | nally filed.<br>A Report.               |
|        |   |                                       | ages  | _of the amendm<br>_of the amendm   | ents ma                         | de under l               | Rule 51 of the             | Imple     | menting Rep                 | gulations of the                        |
|        |   |                                       |   |  |                                 |                          |                            |           |                             |   |
|        | Claims  |                                       | ne Chinese  | v.<br>translation of cl<br>translation of cl   | aims<br>aims                    | OT LDG                   | amendinents                | marie     | TITION STATES OF            | · / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|        |   |                                       |   | ام کم سمنمسات ،  |                                 | of th                    | e amendments               | anne      | ked to the IP               | 'EA Report. 💢                           |
|        |   | $\boxtimes \hat{T}$                   | he Chinese  | translation of cl  | aims <u>1-</u>                  | . <u>27</u> 0f the a     | mendments m                | ade u     | nder Art. 28                | or Art. 41 or                           |
|        |   | ~                                     | ~~  | nents of the clain   |                                 |                          |                            |           |                             | 1 3 ".03                                |
|        |   |                                       | D.AA I  |  |                                 |                          |                            |           |                             | . 613                                   |
|        | Drawings  |                                       | - 4 10-   | Cally Obiguna ton  | nslatio                         | n of the In              | ternational Ap             | plicat    | ion as origin               | nally filed.                            |
| _      |   |                                       |   |  | -AMCIATI                        | IAN AT THE 2             | imenamenis ii              | iauc u    |                             | / V · · ·                               |
| •      |   |                                       |   | of the Chinese to<br>e amendments n  |                                 |                          |                            |           |                             |   |
|        |   | אַ צַּב                               | ages Tot fr   | of the amendme   | ints ma                         | de under F               | tule 51 of the             | Imple     | menting Reg                 | gulations of the                        |
|        |   |                                       | Y   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |                                 |                          |                            |           |                             | 1 105                                   |
| 5.🗆    | Below is/a  | are the                               | reference(  | '.<br>(s) cited in this O  | office A                        | ction (the               | reference num              | iber(s)   | will be use                 | a mongnout me                           |
|        | examinati   | ion pro                               | ocedure):   | •  |                                 |                          |                            |           |                             | . 3.0                                   |

|       |  | Date of Publication  |
|-------|--|--|
| No.   | Number(s) or Title(s) of Reference(s)  | (or the filing date of conflicting application)  |
| 1     |  |  |
| 2     |  |  |
| 3     |  | <del></del>  |
| 4     |  |  |
| 5     |  |  |
|       | 1  |  |
|       | onclusions of the Action:  In the Specification:  The subject matter contained in the application is not patentable und  The description does not comply with Article 26 paragraph 3 of the  The draft of the description does not comply with Rule 18 of the Imp  The draft of the description does not comply with Rule 19 of the Imp  In the Claims:  Claim(s) 27 is/are not patentable under Article 25 of the Patent Law  Claim(s) does/do not comply with the definition of inventions the Implementing Regulations.  Claim(s) does/do not possess the novelty as required by Article Claim(s) does/do not possess the inventiveness as required by Law.  Claim(s) does/do not possess the practical applicability as rethe Patent Law.  Claim(s) does/do not comply with Article 26 paragraph 4 of Claim(s) does/do not comply with Article 31 paragraph 1 of Claim(s) does/do not comply with Article 31 paragraph 1 of Claim(s) does/do not comply with the provisions of Rule 2 Claim(s) does/do not comply with the provisions of Rule 2 Claim(s) does/do not comply with the provisions of Rule 12 Regulations.  The explanations to the above conclusions are set forth in the text portical contents and the provisions of Rule 2 Regulations. | plementing Regulations.  plementing Regulations.  prescribed by Rule 2 paragraph 1 of the 22 paragraph 2 of the Patent Law, and Article 22 paragraph 3 of the Patent quired by Article 22 paragraph 4 of the Patent Law, the Patent Law, provisions of Rule 20 of the limplementing Regulations paragraph 1 of the Implementing  |
| 7. II | n view of the conclusions set forth above, the Examiner is of the opinion.  The applicant should make amendments as directed in the text portion. The applicant should expound in the response reasons why the amendments to the application where there are deficiencies as a Notification, otherwise, the application will not be allowed.  The application contains no allowable invention, and therefore, if reasons to prove that the application does have merits, it will be rejected.  | n that: n of the Notification. he application is patentable and male pointed out in the text portion of the text applicant fails to submit sufficients.  |
| 9. 1  | The followings should be taken into consideration by the applicant in many of the Patent Law, the applicant should response to the Patent Law, the applicant should response to the application shall be deemed to have been withdrawn.  (2) Any amendments to the application should be in conformity with the Law. Substitution pages should be in duplicate and the format of the with the relevant provision contained in "The Examination Guideline (3) The response to the Notification and/or revision of the application of "Reception Division" of the Patent Office, and documents not make Divisions have no legal effect.  (4) Without an appointment, the applicant and/or his agent shall not in Office.  This Notification contains a text portion of pages and the following cited reference(s), totaling pages.   | to the office action within a month justified reason, the time limit is not me provisions of Article 33 of the Pate he substitution should be in conforming.  Thould be mailed to or handed over to the ailed or handed over to the Reception terview with the Examiner in the Pate in the |
|       | Examination Dept. Examiner:  | Seal of the Examination Department   |

### TEXT OF THE FIRST OFFICE ACTION

Application Number: 018172652

The following are the examiner's comments:

- 1. Claim 27 belongs to the scope prescribed in Article 25, paragraph one, item two of the Patent Law, which is not patentable. Although the claim seeks to protect a computer readable medium, said computer readable medium do not possess any physical features, which differ from the prior art and contribute to the present invention. In substance, the claim seeks to protect computer program instructions recorded in the computer readable medium, that is, computer program itself. Therefore, claim 27 is not patentable.
- 2. Claim 1 does not describe detailed operational steps for "dynamically inserting an advertisement at an advertising location within said one or more flipping pages", causing that the skilled persons in the art do not know the meaning of word "dynamically" and the its embodiments, and thus a complete technical solution cannot be formed simply on the basis of the present content in this claim. Therefore, claim 1 is not in conformity with Rule 21, paragraph two of the Implementing Regulations of the Patent Law. The applicant should incorporate detailed technical features of the step of "dynamically inserting" into this claim.

The same defect also exists in "dynamically inserted" in claim 17 and "dynamically inserting" in claim 26, and thus claims 17 and 26 are not in conformity with Rule 21, paragraph two of the Implementing Regulations of the Patent Law. The applicant should amend it.

3. The meaning of "organizing sequentially said content" in claim 1 is unclear. It is unclear what does "content" refer to, thus making the protection scope of claim 1 unclear. Therefore, claim 1 is not in conformity with Rule 20, paragraph one of the Implementing Regulations of the Patent Law. The applicant should amend it to "electronic content".

The same defect also exists in claims 2, 4, 9 and 14, making claims 2, 4, 9 and 14 not in conformity with Rule 20, paragraph one of the Implementing Regulations of the Patent Law. The applicant should amend it.

- 4. The meaning of the sentence "reorganizing a portion of said content around said advertising location of said advertisement" in claim 2 is unclear. It is unclear of the detailed operational manner of "reorganizing" and its anticipated object, what content does "a portion of said content" refer to, and what is the difference between this content and other portion of content. This makes the protection scope of claim 2 unclear, and thus is not in conformity with Rule 20, paragraph one of the Implementing Regulations of the Patent Law.
- 5. What does "displaying step" in claim 8 refer to is unclear. The "displaying step" does not appear in claim 1, which is referred to by claim 8. This makes the protection scope of this claim unclear, and is not in conformity with Rule 20, paragraph one of the Implementing Regulations of the Patent Law.

The same defect also exists in "selecting step" and "displaying step" in claim 13, and "inserting step" in claim 16. This makes the protection scope of claims 13 and 16 unclear, and thus is not in conformity with Rule 20, paragraph one of the Implementing Regulations of the Patent Law. The applicant should amend it.

- 6. (This item relates to Chinese wording. Translation omitted.)
- 7. The meaning of the sentence "said one or more flipping pages includes at least two flipping pages" in claim 16 is contradictory. The contradiction exists when the sentence is read as "one flipping page includes at least two flipping pages". This makes the protection scope of claim 16 unclear, and thus is not in conformity with Rule 20, paragraph one of the Implementing Regulations of the Patent Law.
- 8. Claim 17 is a product claim, however, the applicant does not describe it with structural feature. The presented features like "browseable electronic book", "discrete amounts of content" and "advertisement" are not the product's structural features. This makes the protection scope of claim 17 unclear, and thus is not in conformity with Rule 20, paragraph one of the Implementing Regulations of the Patent Law.

Similarly, the feature "spine representation" mentioned in

claim 23 is not a product's structural feature. This makes the type of claim 23 unclear, and thus is not in conformity with Rule 20, paragraph one of the Implementing Regulations of the Patent Law. The applicant should amend it.

- 9. The sentence "said advertisement and said second advertisement originate from a same advertiser" in claim 21 is not the technical feature which defines the protection scope, but a description of some non-technical features like the origin of the advertisement. This does not make claim 21 concise, and thus is not in conformity with Rule 20, paragraph one of the Implementing Regulations of the Patent Law. The applicant should remove the above-mentioned contents.
- 10. (This item relates to Chinese wording. Translation omitted.)

For the reasons as stated above, the application cannot be allowed in the present form. The applicant should submit a new claim and/or specification in accordance with this notification within the specified time limit. The applicant should note that, pursuant to Article 33 of the Patent Law, the amendments may not go beyond the scope of disclosure contained in the initial specification and claims. Any active amendment that deviates from this notification will make the amended document unacceptable. If the applicant fails to overcome the above-mentioned defects within the specified time limit in this notification or fails to present convincing reasons why the application is conformity with said prescription, the application shall be rejected.

#### Comments of the Attorney

Regarding item 1 of the text portion of this Office Action, you might delete claim 27.

Regarding item 2, you might further define how to perform dynamic inserting in claims 1, 17 and 26.

Regarding items 3, 4 and 7, you might amend claims 1, 2, 4, 9, 14 and 16 to be clear.

Regarding item 5, you might argue that the defects as indicated in this item do not exist.

Regarding items 6 and 10, it can be attended to at our end.

Regarding item 8, you might redefine the products of claims 17 and 23 with their structural features that are supported by the specification.

Regarding item 9, it may be helpful to argue that "said advertisement and said second advertisement originate from a same advertiser" is potentially a technical feature.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY